Adaptive Relay Configuration Based on the Novel Hyperchaotic Three-Components Oscillator Operating at High Frequency: Global Synchronization
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Abstract: This article is investigating from one of best control technique known as periodically intermittent discrete observation control (PIDOC), the problem of global synchronization based on a relay configuration of three novel hyperchaotic oscillators of three-components (NHO) operating at high frequency. Contrary to traditional periodically intermittent control based on continuous-time state observations, PIDOC used here, chooses discrete-time state observations in work time during a control period. Our analysis has been limited to a range of parameters for which the NHO-type oscillator exhibits bursting oscillations. The global conditions of stability for non-adaptive and adaptive cases have been proven analytically. To the best of our knowledge and in the literature of the relay coupling system, no work has been carried out concerning the study of the stability of adaptive synchronization case. The Synchronization of the system is analysed in terms of its control gain by using time series. The numerical results show that there is global synchronization between the three relay coupled NHO-type oscillators for both non-adaptive and adaptive synchronizations. Moreover, PSpice based simulations of the analog electronic circuit for the non-adaptive case are in good accordance with both theoretical and numerical results.
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1. Introduction

The idea of investigating the collective behavior of coupled dynamical systems has provoked a great deal of research efforts in the past two decades [1, 2]. A primary interest focussed on some phenomena as synchronization, phase-locking, phase-shift, and phase-flip transition, and amplitude death are specially presented in coupled dynamical systems [2, 3, 4]. Of all the above, the synchronization emerges to be the most common phenomenon exhibited in a diversity of coupled dynamical systems. The history of this very interesting phenomenon started in the seventeenth century when the famous researcher Christian Huygens observed perfect similarity between the oscillating motions of two clocks hanging from a same support [5]. Later, Eccles and Vincent [6] analysed synchronisation phenomena in the context of electrical and radio engineering development. After the famous works of Pecora and Carroll [7, 8] in which they were able to synchronize two identical chaotic systems with different initial conditions; chaos synchronization owing to its potential application in various fields of physics and engineering sciences, such as information processing, biological systems, artificial neurons networks, chemical
reactors, data encryption, communications secure, etc [9, 10] has been the subject of remarkable progress. Thus, in the literature different main types of synchronization are usually distinguished, namely complete or identical synchronization (CS), in-phase synchronization (PS), out-of-phase synchronization, lag synchronization (LS), generalized synchronization (GS), intermittent lag synchronization (ILS), and mixed synchronization and so on [8, 11, 12, 13, 14]. In coupled systems, the synchronization can be achieved either by coupling or external action while considering the nature of coupling, namely: diffusion, time delay, nonlinear, conjugate, as well as strength or intensity of interaction.

However, different techniques have been presented to highlight the synchronization phenomenon in relay coupled systems. Relay synchronization is a process whereby, indirect coupling permits to obtain a complete synchronization of two dynamical systems through a relay unit whose dynamics may be different from that of the synchronous state [2]. For example, during choir practice, the brain serves as a relay of the different vocal cords of the choir members thereby synchronizing their pitches. Many types of works [2, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25] have sufficiently demonstrated the interest (telecommunications, biological and mechanical systems, ...) devoted to the use of the relay between the systems to be synchronized. In [2], the authors have approached a natural question concerning phenomena such as synchronization and amplitude death across the coupling between similar or different variables. The authors of [19] have brought proof of generalized synchronization in systems that act as mediators between two dynamical units that, in turn, show complete synchronization with each other: these are the relay systems. In [21], the modification consists on the fact that the relay unit is modeled to lead the entire network to a desired dynamics, the authors have studied the dynamics of a modified relay-coupled chaotic systems. Moreover, this study shows that the type of synchronization is linked to the sign of the bound of the time derivative of the Lyapunov function. The idea of stabilizing at finite-time a relay coupled scheme of three fractional-order oscillators in the presence of time delay was addressed in [22]. In [23], the phenomenon of partial death in time delay coupled relay system which was done with conjugate coupling by considering Limit-cycle oscillator and Rossler oscillator was investigated. Later on, the partial death patterns separated by the oscillatory regime in plan parameter was observed, where the outer oscillators are in the oscillatory state and the middle oscillator in the steady state followed by complete death state.

On the other hand, we also noted in the literature that the design of control scheme is often necessary to drive the synchronization of relay coupled systems. Thus to reach this synchronization, many control methods have been developed namely: the impulsive control [26], the feedback control [27], the periodically intermittent control [28], and so on. Among all these control tactics, a particular interest has been carried out on periodically intermittent control which, although being discontinuous, the control also has the particularity that its control inputs are activated during working time and deactivated during rest time. This justifies the fact that it is more economical than those whose control inputs are permanently activated. It is in this sense that the use of periodically intermittent control to synchronize couples systems has yielded many interesting results. This is the case for example of the authors of [24] who designed an appropriate periodically intermittent controller to deal with the synchronization problem of fractional-order memristive neural networks. Contrary to periodically intermittent control used in some Reference as [28, 29] whose work time in a control period is based on continuous-time state observations, Xu’s works and collaborators [25] have introduced a novel control technique more reasonable, practical and low-cost, named as periodically intermittent discrete observation control (PIDOC).

Given that the results of the research works mentioned in all the references cited previously are very interesting, there are still open questions of a fundamental nature. To begin with, Most of the circuits/systems used in the relay couplings proposed so far are chaotic and operate in low frequency ranges. Its disadvantage is that its information processing speed in a communication channel will be low because it has been proven in the literature that the more the signal’s oscillation frequency used to secure an information is large, the higher the processing speed of this information [30, 31, 32]. Furthermore, All the studies that have been carried out in literature used non-adaptive controllers and the control laws are known. The non-adaptive controller has an inconvenience that when the external milieu varies, it is not robust enough to secure the synchronization of the systems put in play because its gain is manual and consequently unable to adapt to environmental modifications. To continue, the majority of the relay systems don’t take into consideration the effect of the transmission channel. Given that, there is no existence of a perfect channel, not taking into consideration is only utopia. To ride on, still in the literature of relay systems, we also noticed that it is poor as of what concerns the study of stability. It is important to note that to avoid losing information, the synchronization phenomenon should be anticipated through calculation. Indeed, final-time synchronization permits to accurately anticipate the time of synchronization in a system. This time synchronization is important for an engineer because it permits a better determination of parameters which can entail the synchronization of coupled systems [33]. In this context, there is a keen work in the study of finite-time chaos synchronization [34, 35] and gradually of fractional-order systems [33, 36, 37]. To the best of our knowledge, except some works like those defined in [21], [22] and more recently in [25] to mention only those who studied stability in the non-adaptive case, no further work has been done in respect to adaptive synchronization case. since the adaptive method has the advantage of making the synchronization process more robust to disturbances and uncertainties related to the parameters and the initial conditions of the system. Recall that the problems faced in the literature of relay coupled systems and underlined in this paragraph have been summarised in Table 1.
Motivated by the above discussions and via PIDOC, we study the problem of synchronization in a relay configuration based on the coupling of three new three-component hyperchaotic oscillators (NHO) whose synchronization criteria are obtained with the help of Lyapunov method. We have also succeeded in constructing a Lyapunov function that could reflect the topological structure of our relay configuration. Moreover, sufficient conditions to ensure global synchronization of the outer oscillators are presented for both the non-adaptive synchronization and the adaptive synchronization. This paper is organized as follows: A brief reminder of the NHO-type oscillator followed by the model description of relay systems as well as some preliminary are given in Section 2. Section 3 is devoted to the main results of the stability study corresponding to the non-adaptive synchronization and adaptive synchronization respectively in a relay coupling of three NHO-type oscillators. Numerical simulations are carried out in Section 4. PSpice simulations are performed in Section 5 to illustrate the effectiveness of the relay coupling of three NHO-type oscillators. We complete the paper in Section 6 with an appropriate conclusion and some further works.

### Table 1. Classification of some systems in terms of problems faced in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference of papers</th>
<th>Hyperchaos</th>
<th>High frequency</th>
<th>Periodically intermittent discrete observation control (PIDOC)</th>
<th>Stability study for the case of nonadaptive synchronization</th>
<th>Stability study for the case of adaptive synchronization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[2]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[19]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[21]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[22]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[28]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[29]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2. Novel Hyperchaotic Three-component Oscillator (NHO) Description and Preliminaries

#### 2.1. NHO Description

As mentioned, Figure 1 presented in this work contains only three elements, namely a junction field effect transistor (JFET), a transformer and a capacitor and was analysed experimentally by Tchitnga et al. [32]. In order to facilitate the modeling of the NHO, these authors have taken into consideration certain modifications, namely, they neglected the internal resistance of the tapped coil and used a high-frequency small-signal equivalent circuit model of a JFET, as described in their paper. Then, the equations governing the circuit are given in Eq. (1)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dV_{GS}}{dt} &= \frac{1}{c_{gs}}(i_2 - I_d - i_1 - i_D) \\
\frac{dV_{GD}}{dt} &= \frac{1}{c_{gd}}(-i_2 + I_d) \\
\frac{dV_C}{dt} &= -\frac{1}{c_3} \\
V_{GS} &= L_1 \frac{di_1}{dt} + M_{12} \frac{di_2}{dt} + (M_{13} - M_{14}) \frac{di_3}{dt} \\
E + V_{GD} - V_{GS} &= M_{21} \frac{di_1}{dt} + L_2 \frac{di_2}{dt} + (M_{23} - M_{24}) \frac{di_3}{dt} \\
V_C &= (M_{31} - M_{41}) \frac{di_1}{dt} + (M_{32} - M_{42}) \frac{di_2}{dt} + (L_3 + L_4 - M_{34} - M_{43}) \frac{di_3}{dt}
\end{align*}
\]

![Figure 1. Schematic representation of NHO governed by the six-dimensional flow in Eq. (1).](image)
where the mutual inductances between $L_1$ and $L_2$, $L_1$ and $L_3$, $L_1$ and $L_4$, $L_2$ and $L_3$, $L_2$ and $L_4$, $L_3$ and $L_4$ are defined as $M_{12} = M_{21} = k_1 \sqrt{L_1 L_2}$, $M_{13} = M_{31} = k_2 \sqrt{L_1 L_3}$, $M_{14} = M_{41} = k_3 \sqrt{L_1 L_4}$, $M_{23} = M_{32} = k_4 \sqrt{L_2 L_3}$, $M_{24} = M_{42} = k_5 \sqrt{L_2 L_4}$ and $M_{34} = M_{43} = k_6 \sqrt{L_3 L_4}$ respectively, where $k_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, 6$) are the magnetic coupling factors.

Also recall that the currents are:

$$I_d = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } V_{GS} \leq V_{GS,off}, \\ g_{m0}(V_{GS} - V_{GS,off})^2, & \text{if } V_{GD} \leq V_{GS,off}, \\ g_{m0} (V_{GS} - V_{GD}) (V_{GS} + V_{GD} - 2V_{GS,off}), & \text{if } V_{GD} \geq V_{GS,off} \end{cases}$$

$$i_d = I_S [\exp(\frac{V_{GS}}{V_T}) - 1]$$

As a reminder, Eq. (1) describing the circuit system of Figure 1 whose its normalized form is presented in [32] and defined as follow:

$$\begin{align*}
    \dot{x}_1 &= a_1(x_5 - x_4) - a_2 \exp(x_1 - 1) - a_3 g(x_1, x_2), \\
    \dot{x}_2 &= -\alpha(a_1 x_5 - a_4 g(x_1, x_2)), \\
    \dot{x}_3 &= -a_4 x_6, \\
    \dot{x}_4 &= b_1 x_1 + b_2 (e + x_2) + b_3 x_3, \\
    \dot{x}_5 &= b_4 x_1 + b_5 (e + x_2) + b_6 x_3, \\
    \dot{x}_6 &= b_7 x_1 + b_8 (e + x_2) + b_9 x_3
\end{align*}$$

(2)

where $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5$ and $x_6$ are the dimensionless states variables and $\tau = \omega_0 t$ ($\omega_0$ is the resonant radian frequency of the system). The constant parameters $a_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, 4$), $b_j$ ($j = 1, \ldots, 9$), $\alpha$ and $e$ are given by the following relations:

$$\begin{align*}
    a_1 &= \frac{I_0}{V_T C_{GS} \omega_0}, \\
    a_2 &= \frac{I_S}{V_T C_{GS} \omega_0}, \\
    a_3 &= \frac{g_{m0} V_T}{C_{GS} \omega_0}, \\
    a_4 &= \frac{I_0}{V_T \omega_0}, \\
    b_1 &= \frac{V_T a_{12}}{I_0 \omega_0}, \\
    b_2 &= \frac{V_T a_{13}}{I_0 \omega_0}, \\
    b_3 &= \frac{V_T a_{14}}{I_0 \omega_0}, \\
    b_4 &= \frac{V_T a_{21} - a_{22}}{I_0 \omega_0}, \\
    b_5 &= \frac{V_T a_{22}}{I_0 \omega_0}, \\
    b_6 &= \frac{V_T a_{23}}{I_0 \omega_0}, \\
    b_7 &= \frac{V_T a_{31} - a_{32}}{I_0 \omega_0}, \\
    b_8 &= \frac{V_T a_{32}}{I_0 \omega_0}, \\
    b_9 &= \frac{V_T a_{33}}{I_0 \omega_0}, \\
    \alpha &= \frac{C_{GS}}{C_{GD}}, \text{and } e = \frac{E}{V_T}.
\end{align*}$$

with

$$\theta = L_1 L_2 \left[ \frac{2(2k_1 k_2 k_3 + k_1 k_3 k_4 - k_1 k_1 k_6 - k_2 k_3 - k_4 k_5 + k_6)}{\sqrt{L_3 L_4}} + \frac{-2k_1 k_2 k_3 + k_1 k_1 k_6 + k_2 k_2 + k_3 k_4 - 1}{L_3} + \frac{-2k_1 k_3 k_5 + k_1 k_1 + k_3 k_3 + k_5 k_5 - 1}{L_4} \right]$$

$$\begin{align*}
    a_{11} &= \left[ (k_4 k_4 - 1) L_3 + (k_5 k_5 - 1) L_4 + 2(k_6 - k_4 k_5) \sqrt{L_3 L_4} \right] L_2, \\
    a_{22} &= \left[ (k_2 k_2 - 1) L_3 + (k_3 k_3 - 1) L_4 + 2(k_6 - k_2 k_3) \sqrt{L_3 L_4} \right] L_1, \\
    a_{33} &= (k_1 k_1 - 1) L_1 L_2, \\
    a_{12} &= a_{21} = \left[ \frac{(k_2 k_4 + k_1 L_3) + (k_3 k_5 + k_1 L_4) + (k_2 k_6 + k_2 k_3)}{\sqrt{L_1 L_2}} \right] \sqrt{L_1 L_2}, \\
    a_{13} &= a_{31} = \left[ \frac{(k_1 k_4 + k_2 L_3) + (k_1 k_5 - k_3)}{\sqrt{L_1 L_2}} \right] \sqrt{L_1 L_2}, \\
    a_{23} &= a_{32} = \left[ \frac{(k_3 k_4 - k_5)}{\sqrt{L_2 L_4}} \right] \sqrt{L_2 L_3}.
\end{align*}$$

Let’s also note that all the coefficients $a_{ij}$ ($i = 1, \ldots, 3$, $j = 1, \ldots, 3$) as for them, derive from the parameters of the midpoint transformer namely, the mutual inductances $L_p$ ($p = 1, \ldots, 4$) as well as the magnetic coupling factors $k_q$ ($q = 1, \ldots, 6$) [32]. Of most interesting is the observation that the system (2) not only can display hyperchaotic attractors when $k_1 = 0.90$, $k_2 = 0.93$, $k_3 = 0.82$, $k_4 = 0.93$, $k_5 = 0.84$, $k_6 = 0.93$ and $e = 41.5$, but also can exhibits a rich dynamic behaviors intrinsic to neurons, characterized of alternating sequence of active states and passive states, and known as bursting oscillations when $e = 25$ (see Figure 2).

2.2. Relay Circuit and Equation

With the aim of determining whether a relay configuration based on the coupling of three NHO-type oscillators is possible, let consider diagram is given in Figure 3. The arrows
between the oscillators indicate that the coupling is assumed to be bidirectional and instantaneous. Each of the oscillators of Figure 3 is a NHO. Here, the relay configuration is chosen so that the three oscillators are all taken to be identical except for the initial conditions. Here, the issue is to establish a connection between the node \( X \) and the node \( Z \) through the node \( Y \) considered in this work as the relay. On the basis of the mathematical model of NHO as defined above, we can write the equations of the nodes \( X, Y \) and \( Z \) respectively in the following way: the outer 1 oscillator

\[
\dot{X} = F(X, t) + u_1(\delta_t)
\]

where \( X = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)^T \) (3)

the relay oscillator

\[
\dot{Y} = F(Y, t) + u_2(\delta_t)
\]

where \( Y = (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6)^T \) (4)

and the outer 2 oscillator

\[
\dot{Z} = F(Z, t) + u_3(\delta_t)
\]

where \( Z = (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6)^T \) (5)

where \( u_1 \) represents the controller between node \( X \) and node \( Y \), \( u_3 \) represents the controller between node \( Z \) and node \( Y \) and \( u_2 \) the inverse signal of the sum of \( u_1 \) et \( u_2 \) which comes from contributions of the node \( X \) and \( Y \). Furthermore, \( X(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t), x_4(t), x_5(t), x_6(t))^T \in \mathbb{R}^n \) corresponds to the vector of the node \( X \), \( Y(t) = (y_1(t), y_2(t), y_3(t), y_4(t), y_5(t), y_6(t))^T \in \mathbb{R}^n \) corresponds to the vector of the node \( Y \) and \( Z(t) = (z_1(t), z_2(t), z_3(t), z_4(t), z_5(t), z_6(t))^T \in \mathbb{R}^n \) corresponds to the vector of the node \( Z \). Here, \( F(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \) is a continuous vector-valued function.

To ensure global synchronization, we choose the dynamic synchronization error described by:

\[
e(t) = X(t) + Z(t) - 2Y(t) \quad (6)
\]

So the dynamics of this error can be expressed as follows:

\[
\dot{e}(t) = F(X) + F(Z) - 2F(Y) + u_i(t) \quad (7)
\]

Figure 2. Periodic bursting: (a) time serie of the output of \( x_1 \) and (b) \( x_5 \) phase space projection in the plane \( (x_1 - x_5) \) for \( k_1 = 0.90, k_2 = 0.93, k_3 = 0.82, k_4 = 0.93, k_5 = 0.84, k_6 = 0.93 \) and \( \varepsilon = 25 \). Initial conditions \( X(0) = (0.001, 0.008, 0.004, 0.004, 0.005, 0.001)^T \).  

2.3. Preliminaries

Some definitions are presented in order to prepare for main results.

\textbf{Definition 1.} If in the case of non-adaptive synchronization \( \lim_{t \to \infty} |e(t)| = 0 \), a global synchronization is achieved between outer 1, outer 2 oscillators and the relay oscillator.

In order to make system (7) reach global synchronization, a PIDOC [25] \( u_i(t) \) who in the non-adaptive case is designed as:

\[
u_i(t) = -d_i(t)e(\delta_t) \quad (8)
\]

in which

\[
d_i(t) = \begin{cases} 
  d_i, & t \in [mT, (m + \theta)T] \\
  0, & t \in [(m + \theta)T, (m + 1)T], \ m \in \mathbb{N}
\end{cases}
\]

With \( T > 0 \) represents the control period, \( d_i \) is a positive constant called the control gain, \( \theta T \) is called the control width. Furthermore, \( \delta_t = [t/\tau]T - \tau = t\tau - \tau \), where positive constant \( \tau \) is the duration between two consecutive observations and \( l = [t/\tau] \) is the integer part of \( t/\tau \). Meanwhile, \( \theta T / \tau \) is a positive integer.

\textbf{Definition 2.} If in the case of adaptive synchronization
Figure 3. Relay configuration scheme in the present model.

\[
\lim_{t \to t_r} |e(t)| = 0, \text{ between the outer } 1, \text{ outer } 2 \text{ oscillators and relay unit, a global synchronization at finite time } t_r \text{ is achieved.}
\]

For the system (7) to reach global synchronization in the adaptive case whose PIDOC is given to the relation (8), choose the PIDOC gain update law as shown below:

\[
\dot{d}_i(t) = \begin{cases} 
(e(t))^2, & t \in [mT, (m + \theta)T] \\
0, & t \in [(m + \theta)T, (m + 1)T], \ m \in \mathbb{N}
\end{cases}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

in which \( T > 0 \) represents the control period, \( d_i \) is the control gain, \( \theta T \) is called the control width. Furthermore, \( \delta_{\tau} = \left[ \frac{t}{\tau} \right] \tau - \tau \), where \( \tau \) is the duration between two consecutive observations and \( \left[ \frac{t}{\tau} \right] \) is the integer part of \( \frac{t}{\tau} \). Meanwhile, \( \delta_{\tau} \) is a positive integer.

To deduce main results, some lemmas are given which will be used in main results.

**Lemma 1** [38, 39]. Let \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) and let \( q_1, q_2, ..., q_n \) be non-negative real numbers. Then for \( z > 1 \),

\[
\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i \right)^z \leq n^{z-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i^z
\]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

**Lemma 2** [38, 22]. (Gronwall inequality) . If

\[
y(t) \leq v(t) + \int_{t_0}^{t} h(s)y(s) ds \hspace{1cm} t \in [t_0, T]
\]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Let \( d_i = \bar{d} \) the control gain. This system (15) is stable if and only if the control gain \( d \) satisfies Theorem 1

**Theorem 1.** If \((\bar{d} - 2\eta)(1 - p(\tau)) - dp(\tau) \geq 0, \) i.e,

\[
8\tau^2\bar{d}^3 \exp(4\tau^2\eta^2) - 8\eta^3\bar{d}^2 \exp(4\tau^2\eta^2) + 16\tau^2\eta^2\bar{d} \exp(4\tau^2\eta^2) - 16\tau^2\eta^3 \exp(4\tau^2\eta^2) - \bar{d} \leq 2\eta
\]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

3. Main Result

3.1. Non-adaptive Synchronization Based on the Relay Coupling of Three NHO-type Oscillators

Now let’s interest us at the stability of the error system (7). Therefore, (7) combined with (8) leads to:

\[
\dot{e} = F(X) + F(Z) - 2F(Y) - d_i(t)e(\delta_{\tau})
\]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

If, in addition, \( v(t) \) is nondecreasing, then

\[
y(t) \leq v(t) \exp \int_{t_0}^{t} h(s) ds \hspace{1cm} t \in [t_0, T]
\]  \hspace{1cm} (13)
In this hypothesis, we say that there is global synchronization between the three oscillators namely: $X$, $Y$ and $Z$.

**Proof.** To prove this theorem, consider the following Lyapunov function:

$$V(t) = e^T(t)e(t)$$

(17)

its time derivative leads us to the relation below:

$$\dot{V}_i = 2e^T(t)\dot{e}(t)$$

(18)

By applying the Lemma 3 to Eq.(18), we have

$$\dot{V} \leq 2\eta |e(t)|^2 - 2d_i(t) e(t) e(\delta_r)$$

(19)

Given that $d_i = \overline{d}$, we obtain:

$$\dot{V} \leq 2\eta |e(t)|^2 + \overline{d}(e(t) - e(\delta_r))^2 - \overline{d}e^2(t)$$

(20)

$$\dot{V} \leq (2\eta - \overline{d}) |e(t)|^2 + \overline{d}[e(t) - e(\delta_r)]^2$$

(21)

Let us evaluate, $|e(t) - e(\delta_r)|^2$

$$e(t) - e(\delta_r) \leq \int_{\delta_r}^t \dot{e}(s) ds$$

(22)

Seen that $\tau = t - \delta_r$, it yields that

$$|e(t) - e(\delta_r)|^2 \leq \tau \int_{\delta_r}^t |f(x_i) + f(z_i) - 2f(y_i) - d(s)e(\delta_r)|^2 ds$$

(23)

Using Lemma 1, the inequality (23) can take the following form:

$$|e(t) - e(\delta_r)|^2 \leq 2\tau \int_{\delta_r}^t |f(x_i) + f(z_i) - 2f(y_i)|^2 ds + 2\tau \int_{\delta_r}^t |d(s)|^2 |e(\delta_r)|^2 ds$$

(24)

According to Lemma 3 the inequality (24), we get

$$|e(t) - e(\delta_r)|^2 \leq 2\tau \int_{\delta_r}^t |e(s)|^2 ds + 2\tau^2 d^2 |e(\delta_r)|^2$$

(25)

$$|e(t) - e(\delta_r)|^2 \leq 2\tau \int_{\delta_r}^t |e(s) - e(\delta_r) + e(\delta_r)|^2 ds + 2\tau^2 d^2 |e(\delta_r)|^2$$

(26)

$$|e(t) - e(\delta_r)|^2 \leq \int_{\delta_r}^t (4\tau^2 \eta^2) |e(s) - e(\delta_r)|^2 ds + (4\tau^2 \eta^2 + 2\tau^2 d^2) |e(\delta_r)|^2$$

(27)

With the help of Lemma 2 on the inequality (27), it follows that:

$$|e(t) - e(\delta_r)|^2 \leq (4\tau^2 \eta^2 + 2\tau^2 d^2) |e(\delta_r)|^2 \exp(4\tau^2 \eta^2)$$

(28)

$$|e(t) - e(\delta_r)|^2 \leq (4\tau^2 \eta^2 + 2\tau^2 d^2) \left(|e(\delta_r) - e(t) + e(t)|^2 \right) \exp(4\tau^2 \eta^2)$$

(29)

$$|e(t) - e(\delta_r)|^2 \leq (4\tau^2 \eta^2 + 2\tau^2 d^2) \left(2|e(t) - e(\delta_r)|^2 + 2|e(t)|^2 \right) \exp(4\tau^2 \eta^2)$$

(30)

$$|e(t) - e(\delta_r)|^2 \leq \left\{ 2(4\tau^2 \eta^2 + 2\tau^2 d^2) |e(t) - e(\delta_r)|^2 + 2(4\tau^2 \eta^2 + 2\tau^2 d^2) |e(t)|^2 \right\} \exp(4\tau^2 \eta^2)$$

(31)
\[ |e(t) - e(\delta \tau)|^2 \leq p(\tau) |e(t) - e(\delta \tau)|^2 + p(\tau) |e(t)|^2 \] (32)

with

\[ p(\tau) = 2 \left( 4\tau^2 \eta^2 + 2\tau^2 d^2 \right) \exp \left( 4\tau^2 \eta^2 \right) \]

we can derive that

\[ (1 - p(\tau)) |e(t) - e(\delta \tau)|^2 \leq p(\tau) |e(t)|^2 \] (33)

\[ |e(t) - e(\delta \tau)|^2 \leq \frac{p(\tau)}{1-p(\tau)} |e(t)|^2 \] (34)

In view of \((1 - p(\tau)) > 0\) and substituting (34) into (21), we obtain:

\[ \dot{V} \leq (2\eta - \bar{d}) |e(t)|^2 + \frac{\bar{d} p(\tau)}{1 - p(\tau)} |e(t)|^2 \] (35)

\[ \dot{V} \leq \left( 2\eta - \bar{d} + \frac{\bar{d} p(\tau)}{1 - p(\tau)} \right) |e(t)|^2 \] (36)

\[ \dot{V} \leq - \left( \bar{d} - 2\eta - \frac{\bar{d} p(\tau)}{1 - p(\tau)} \right) |e(t)|^2 \] (37)

Set: \( \mu = \left( \bar{d} - 2\eta - \frac{\bar{d} p(\tau)}{1 - p(\tau)} \right) \)

\[ \dot{V} \leq - \mu V \] (38)

The error system (15) is stable if and only if, \( \mu \geq 0 \), i.e, \( \bar{d} - 2\eta - \frac{\bar{d} p(\tau)}{1 - p(\tau)} \geq 0 \)

This implies that

\[ (\bar{d} - 2\eta)(1 - p(\tau)) - \bar{d} p(\tau) \geq 0 \] (39)

\[ \bar{d} - 4\bar{d} \left( 4\tau^2 \eta^2 + 2\tau^2 \bar{d}^2 \right) \exp \left( 4\tau^2 \eta^2 \right) + 4 \left( 4\tau^2 \eta^2 + 2\tau^2 \bar{d}^2 \right) \exp \left( 4\tau^2 \eta^2 \right) \geq 2\eta \] (40)

Therefore:

\[ 8\tau^2 \bar{d} \exp \left( 4\tau^2 \eta^2 \right) - 8\tau^2 \bar{d}^2 \exp \left( 4\tau^2 \eta^2 \right) + 16\tau^2 \eta^2 \bar{d} \exp \left( 4\tau^2 \eta^2 \right) - 16\tau^2 \eta^2 \exp \left( 4\tau^2 \eta^2 \right) - \bar{d} \leq 2\eta \] (41)

By assumption: The condition of Theorem 1 hold, so system (15) can reach global synchronization i.e. it can have global synchronization between oscillators \( X, Y \) and \( Z \) coupled in relay.

Remark: If \( \delta \tau \to t \), one has: \( p(\tau) \to 0 \) and \( \bar{d} - 2\eta > 0 \), then

\[ \bar{d} > 2\eta \] (42)

3.2. Adaptive Synchronization Based on the Relay Coupling of Three NHO-type Oscillators

Here, let remind that the PODIC gain update Law as well as the dynamics of the error were respectively given by the relations (9) and (15).

**Theorem 2.** There is global synchronization between the three oscillators if and only if, the following condition is satisfied:

\[ t_r = t_0 + \frac{\ln |V(t_0) - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}| - \ln |V(t_r) - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}|}{\beta} \] (43)

Proof. Choose the following Lyapunov function:

\[ V = e^T e + \frac{1}{2} (d_i - \bar{d})^2 \] (44)

Differentiating the Lyapunov function \( V \) with respect to time yields

\[ \dot{V} = 2e^T \dot{e} + \dot{d}_i (d_i - \bar{d}) \] (45)
By analogy with the non-adaptive case, the relation (45) becomes:

$$\dot{V} \leq 2\eta e^2(t) + d_i(t) (e(t) - e(\delta_r))^2 - d_i(t) e(t) - d_i(t) e(\delta_r) + e^2(t) (d_i - \bar{d})$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)$$

The inequality (46) lead us to the following inequality:

$$\dot{V} \leq 2\eta e^2(t) + d_i(t) (e(t) - e(\delta_r))^2 - \bar{d}e^2(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (47)$$

$d_i(t)$ converges to reach $\bar{d}$. So, if $d_i(t)$ is an increasing and positive function then $\bar{d} = \max (d_i(t))$ inequality (47) becomes

$$\dot{V} \leq (2\eta - \bar{d}) e^2(t) + \bar{d}e(t) - e(\delta_r)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (48)$$

substituting (35) into (48) leads to:

$$\dot{V} \leq \left[2\eta - \bar{d} + \frac{\bar{d}p(\tau)}{(1 - p(\tau))}\right] |e(t)|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (49)$$

Then

$$\dot{V} \leq \left[2\eta - \bar{d} + \frac{\bar{d}p(\tau)}{(1 - p(\tau))}\right] \left|e(t)\right|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(d_i - \bar{d}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(d_i - \bar{d}\right)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (50)$$

This implies that

$$\dot{V} \leq \left[2\eta - \bar{d} + \frac{\bar{d}p(\tau)}{(1 - p(\tau))}\right] V - \left[2\eta - \bar{d} + \frac{\bar{d}p(\tau)}{(1 - p(\tau))}\right] \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) (d_i - \bar{d})^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (51)$$

$$\dot{V} \leq \left|\bar{d} - 2\eta - \frac{\bar{d}p(\tau)}{(1 - p(\tau))}\right| V + \left[2\eta - \bar{d} + \frac{\bar{d}p(\tau)}{(1 - p(\tau))}\right] \left|d_i - \bar{d}\right|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (52)$$

In order for it to synchronize, it would be necessary:

$$\left[2\eta - \bar{d} + \frac{\bar{d}p(\tau)}{(1 - p(\tau))}\right] < 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (53)$$

Let

$$\alpha = \left[2\eta - \bar{d} + \frac{\bar{d}p(\tau)}{(1 - p(\tau))}\right] |d_i - \bar{d}|^2$$

and

$$\beta = \bar{d} - 2\eta - \frac{\bar{d}p(\tau)}{(1 - p(\tau))} > 0$$

So

$$\frac{dV}{dt} \leq -\beta V + \alpha$$  \hspace{1cm} (54)$$

This leads us to:

$$-\frac{1}{\beta} \int_{t_0}^{t_\tau} \frac{dV}{dt} \leq \int_{t_0}^{t_\tau} dt$$  \hspace{1cm} (55)$$

$$-\frac{1}{\beta} \left[ln \left|V(t_\tau) - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right| - \ln \left|V(t_0) - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right| \right] \leq (t_\tau - t_0)$$  \hspace{1cm} (56)$$

Therefore

$$t_\tau = t_0 + \frac{\ln \left|V(t_0) - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right| - \ln \left|V(t_\tau) - \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right|}{\beta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (57)$$

By assumption: Since, the condition in Theorem 2 is satisfied. Thus in terms of theorem 2. There is a global synchronization at a finite time between the three oscillators $X, Y$ and $Z$.

4. Numerical Simulations

The aim here is to investigate the synchronization behavior that can be observed in the relay coupling of three NHO-type oscillators. To achieve this, we numerically integrated systems (3), (4) and (5) by using the standard fourth-order Runge-Kutta method with time step equal to $\Delta t = 0.01$.

It is worth to recall that we select the parameters of NHO as $k_1 = 0.9$, $k_2 = 0.93$, $k_3 = 0.82$, $k_4 = 0.93$, $k_5 = 0.84$, $k_6 = 0.93$, $e = 25$ and so that the system (2) exhibits bursting oscillations. Let us note that initial values of systems (3), (4) and (5) are respectively given as follows: $X(0) = (0.001, 0.008, 0.004, 0.004, 0.005, 0.01)^T$, $Y(0) = (0.51, 0.38, 0.24, 0.14, 0.25, 0.61)^T$ and $Z(0) = (1.001, 1.08, 1.04, 1.004, 1.05, 1.01)^T$.

4.1. Non-adaptive Case

The numerical results simulations for the case of non-adaptive synchronization are presented in this part. Figure 4 depicts the dynamics of synchronization error ($e = X - Z$) between the outer oscillators $X$ and $Z$ (Figure 4(a)) with the
control gain $d = 5$. In Figure 4(a), we find that between the two outer oscillators $X$ and $Z$, the synchronization error is almost zero from $t = 200$. Which shows that through the relay unit $Y$, there is a complete synchronization (CS) between the oscillators $X$ and $Z$. This result is confirmed in Figure 4(b) which is time series of trajectories $X$ in blue, and $Z$ in black. Meanwhile, other numerical results are presented in Figure 5. We see in Figure 5(a), the time series of the synchronization errors $(e = X + Z - 2Y)$ and $(e = X - Z)$ respectively between the three oscillators $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ given in blue and on the other hand between the two outer oscillators $X$ and $Z$ given in black. Almost from $t = 200$, we notice on this curve that the errors are zero, which shows that there is indeed global synchronization (GS) in the system. This result is confirmed in Figure 5(b), which is the time representation of states of oscillators $X$ in blue, $Y$ in red, and $Z$ in black. Furthermore, the bursting oscillations are always observed in the relay configuration of the three oscillators (see Figure 6).

Figure 6(a) presents Time series of the variable $y_1(t)$ whereas Figure 6(b) shows the phase portrait in the plane $(y_1 - y_2)$.

### 4.2. Adaptive Case

Here, Figure 7 shows time series synchronization error between the outer oscillators $X$ and $Z$ (see Figure 7(a)). With regard to the latter (i.e., Figure 7(a)), we see that this synchronization error is canceled from $t = 50$: we speak in this case of complete synchronization (CS). Figure 7(b) (which is time series of state variables $X$ in blue and $Z$ in black) confirms these results, for the parameter value $d = 0.001$. Similarly, Figure 8 displays the dynamics of the synchronization errors (see Figure 8(a)) and (the time series of state variables $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ (see Figure 8(b)) always for $d = 0.001$. We notice in Figure 8(a) that the synchronization errors between the outer oscillators $X$ and $Z$ in black and between all three coupled oscillators $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ in blue converge very quickly to zero: hence the robustness of the adaptive controller. This result is validated by the Figure 8(b) which is the time representation of states of oscillators $X$ in blue, $Y$ in red and $Z$ in black. Figure 9 shows changing the adaptive control parameter $d_i$. The initial value of $d_i$ is zero and $d = 0.001$.

![Figure 4](image1.png)  \(\text{Figure 4. Complete synchronization (CS) in a relay coupling of three NHO-type oscillators. (a) Time series of the trajectory of non-adaptive synchronization error between the outer oscillators 1 and 2 (e = } x_1 - z_1). (b) Time series of trajectories } x_1 \text{ in blue, } z_1 \text{ in black for the parameter value } d = 5.\)

![Figure 5](image2.png)  \(\text{Figure 5. Global synchronization (GS) in a relay coupling of three NHO-type oscillators. (a) Time series of trajectories of non-adaptive synchronization errors } e = x_1 + z_1 - 2y_1 \text{ in blue and } e = x_1 - z_1 \text{ in black. (b) Time series of trajectories } x_1 \text{ in blue, } y_1 \text{ in red, } z_1 \text{ in black for the parameter value } d = 5.\)
5. Circuit Realization in a Relay Coupling of Three NHO-type Oscillators

To confirm the implementation of our strategy, we performed simulations in the PSpice software. Above all, it is important for us to remember that, PSpice (Personal simulation program with integrated circuit emphasis) analysis is one of the most common approaches used in nonlinear electronics to validate theoretical results [32]. Indeed, PSpice offers a suitable environment where electronic circuit is built up and further simulated as in real time experiment [40]. One of the main advantage of such approach is that one can easily choose the suitable electronic components which will further being used either in numerical analysis or in real experimental investigations [32, 41]. In the rest of the work, we consider the following values for the key parameters which have been used for numerical and PSpice results in [32]: $C_{GS} = 3.736 \, pF$, $C_{GD} = 3.35\, pF$, $I_S = 34.57\, mA$, $V_{GSOFF} = -1.409\, V$, $V_T = 25\, mV$, and $g_{m0} = 1.754 \, mAV^{-2}$, $I_0 = 1 \, mA$, $\omega_0 = 10^7 \, rad/s$, $C = 20n\, F$, $L_1 = 24.5\, uH$, $L_2 = 4\, uH$, $L_3 = 0.7\, aH$, $L_4 = 0.2\, uH$, $E = 0.625\, V$ and considering the mutual coupling between inductances as $k_1 = 0.9$, $k_2 = 0.93$, $k_3 = 0.82$, $k_4 = 0.93$, $k_5 = 0.84$ and $k_6 = 0.93$. For this synchronization approach, we will only be interested in its non adaptive method. The circuit of Figure 10 realizes the non-adaptive synchronization of the relay coupling of three Identical NHOs in PSpice, with for initial conditions: $(0, 0, 0.002, 0.1, 0.001, 0.0001)$ for the outer 1 oscillator, $(0, 0, 0.002, 0.01, 0.001, 0.01)$ for the outer 2 oscillator and $(0, 0, 0.2, 0.01, 0.1, 0.1)$ for the relay unit.

From the description above, the dynamical behavior of the system Figure 10 is mathematically described by:

Outer 1 oscillator:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dx_1}{dt} &= \frac{I_0}{V_x C_{GS} = 0} (x_5 - x_4) - \frac{I_0}{V_x C_{GS} = 0} \left[ \exp (x_1) - 1 \right] - \frac{g_{m0} V_T}{C_{GS} = 0} g(x_1, x_2) + \frac{1}{C_{GS} = 0 \, R_a} (y_1 - x_1) \\
\frac{dx_2}{dt} &= \frac{I_0}{V_x C_{GS} = 0} x_5 + \frac{g_{m0} V_T}{C_{GS} = 0} g(x_1, x_2) \\
\frac{dx_3}{dt} &= \frac{I_0}{V_x C_{GS} = 0} x_6 \\
\frac{dx_4}{dt} &= b_1 x_1 + b_2 \left( \frac{E}{V_T} + x_2 \right) + b_3 x_3 \\
\frac{dx_5}{dt} &= b_4 x_1 + b_5 \left( \frac{E}{V_T} + x_2 \right) + b_6 x_3 \\
\frac{dx_6}{dt} &= b_7 x_1 + b_8 \left( \frac{E}{V_T} + x_2 \right) + b_9 x_3
\end{align*}
\]

(58)

Relay oscillator:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dy_1}{dt} &= \frac{I_0}{V_y C_{GS} = 0} (y_5 - y_4) - \frac{I_0}{V_y C_{GS} = 0} \left[ \exp (y_1) - 1 \right] - \frac{g_{m0} V_T}{C_{GS} = 0} g(y_1, y_2) + \frac{1}{C_{GS} = 0 \, R_a \, R_b} (R_a x_1 + R_b z_1 - (R_a + R_b) y_1) \\
\frac{dy_2}{dt} &= \frac{I_0}{V_y C_{GS} = 0} y_5 + \frac{g_{m0} V_T}{C_{GS} = 0} g(y_1, y_2) \\
\frac{dy_3}{dt} &= \frac{I_0}{V_y C_{GS} = 0} y_6 \\
\frac{dy_4}{dt} &= b_1 y_1 + b_2 \left( \frac{E}{V_T} + y_2 \right) + b_3 y_3 \\
\frac{dy_5}{dt} &= b_4 y_1 + b_5 \left( \frac{E}{V_T} + y_2 \right) + b_6 y_3 \\
\frac{dy_6}{dt} &= b_7 y_1 + b_8 \left( \frac{E}{V_T} + y_2 \right) + b_9 y_3
\end{align*}
\]

(59)
Outer 2 oscillator:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dz_1}{dt} &= \frac{I_0}{V_T C_{GS}\omega_0} (z_5 - z_4) - \frac{I_0}{V_T C_{GS}\omega_0} \left[ \exp (z_1) - 1 \right] - \frac{g_m V_T}{C_{GS}\omega_0} g(z_1, z_2) + \frac{1}{C_{GS}\omega_0 R_b} (y_1 - z_1) \\
\frac{dz_2}{dt} &= \frac{I_0}{V_T C_{GS}\omega_0} (z_5 + g_m V_T g(z_1, z_2)) \\
\frac{dz_3}{dt} &= \frac{1}{V_T C_{GS}\omega_0} z_6 \\
\frac{dz_4}{dt} &= b_1 z_1 + b_2 \left( \frac{E}{V_T} + z_2 \right) + b_3 z_3 \\
\frac{dz_5}{dt} &= b_4 z_1 + b_5 \left( \frac{E}{V_T} + z_2 \right) + b_6 z_3 \\
\frac{dz_6}{dt} &= b_7 z_1 + b_8 \left( \frac{E}{V_T} + z_2 \right) + b_9 z_3
\end{align*}
\]

(60)

**Figure 7.** Complete synchronization (CS) in a relay coupling of three NHO-type oscillators. (a) Time series of the trajectory of adaptive synchronization error between the outer oscillators 1 and 2 \((e = x_1 - z_1)\). (b) Time series of trajectories \(x_2\) in blue, \(z_2\) in black for the parameter value \(d = 0.001\).

**Figure 8.** Global synchronization (GS) in a relay coupling of three NHO-type oscillators. (a) Time series of trajectories of adaptive synchronization errors \(e = x_2 + z_2 - 2y_2\) in blue and \(e = x_2 - z_2\) in black. (b) Time series of trajectories \(x_2\) in blue, \(y_2\) in red, \(z_2\) in black for the parameter value \(d = 0.001\).

**Figure 9.** Changing of adaptive control parameter \(d_i\) with time \(t\).
In this circuit, the resistors $R_a$ and $R_b$ are the coupling resistances. By identification between systems (3), (4) and (5) and systems (58), (59) and (60), the relationship between $d$ (coupling parameter) and resistors $R_a$ and $R_b$ are:

$$R_a = R_b = \frac{1}{dC_GS\omega_0} \quad (61)$$

Using the numerical simulation values, the circuit parameters $R_a$ and $R_b$ are obtained: $R_a = R_b = 5.353k\Omega$.

Thus, for parameters used to find global synchronization results found in Figure 4, the circuit is simulated with PSpice software and the obtained results are depicted in Figure 11. We note that, these latters are in full agreement with the numerical simulation obtained in MATLAB software.

**Figure 10.** Circuit performing the non-adaptive synchronization in a relay coupling of three NHO-type oscillators.

**Figure 11.** Global synchronization (GS) in PSpice. (a) Time series of trajectories: $e = x_1 - z_1$ in blue and $e = x_1 + z_1 - 2y_1$ in red. (b) Time series of trajectories $x_1$ in blue, $y_1$ in red, $z_1$ in black for the values $R_a = R_b = 100\Omega$.

6. Conclusion

The NHO-type oscillator operating at high frequency introduced in [32] has been recalled in this study. Among the complex behaviours exhibited by this oscillator, we have limited our investigation to the set of parameters for which our oscillator presented a rich dynamic phenomenon intrinsic to neurons, characterized by alternating sequence of active states and passive states, and known as bursting oscillations. Furthermore, we have demonstrated that it is possible to determine a relay coupling scheme of three NHO-type oscillators. From this configuration, the synchronization issue has been studied. The control technique proposed in this paper known as PIDOC [25] results from the combination between the periodically intermittent control and discrete-time state observations. Applying the Lyapunov method to the two synchronization cases (non-adaptive and adaptive) discussed above, sufficient conditions of stability have been obtained...
for a better guarantee of the synchronization of three relay-coupled NHO-type oscillators.

More importantly, the synchronization time for the adaptive case has been foretold by calculation because this time has to be known and minimized, so as to achieve the synchronization as fast as possible. From the numerical results, we obtained a global synchronisation between all three NHO-type relay coupled oscillators from both the non-adaptive synchronization and the adaptive synchronization methods, thus validating conditions of analytical stability for global synchronization. For non-adaptive case, this phenomenon of global synchronisation was further confirmed using electronic implementation in the PSpice environment. PSpice analysis reveals good correspondence with numerical investigations. Thus, in further works on this topic, we will employ PIDOC to investigate synchronization of fractional-order of three relay coupled NHO-type oscillators. Furthermore, the relay configuration which was examined in this paper can also be used in big data technologies to encrypt and compress data [42, 43, 44].
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